



5/15 RM

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket: GARCIA-MARTIN=1

#12

In re Application of:)	Conf. No.: 6651
)	
GARCIA-MARTIN et al.)	Art Unit: 3634
)	
Appln. No.: 09/581,946)	Examiner:
)	
Filed: November 8, 2000)	Washington, D.C.
)	
For: DOOR MODULE)	May 9, 2002
)	

REQUEST FOR NEW OFFICE ACTION

RECEIVED

MAY 13 2002

GROUP 3600

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231


Sir:

We are in receipt of an office action that issued on April 22, 2002. On page 4 of that action, the Examiner indicates that applicant's arguments with respect to claims 30 and 31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. However, in that action, at least claim 30 is rejected on the same grounds as in the previous action. Accordingly, it is understood that a response to applicant's arguments, at least with respect to the rejection of that claim, should be provided.

Accordingly, it is requested that the action issued April 22, 2002, be replaced by a new action presenting an appropriate response to applicant's previous arguments.

Respectfully submitted,
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By


Jay M. Finkelstein
Registration No. 21,082

JMF:lt

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197

Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\O\Ocha\garcia martin\pto\REQUEST FOR NEW OFFICE ACTION 9may.02.doc